

PUBLISHING HOUSE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SOCIAL AND HUMANITIES SCIENCES IN LONDON

PUBLICATION ETHICS POLICY

- 1. The publishing house adheres to principles of publication ethics aimed at preventing unethical publishing practices, in accordance with the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): https://publicationethics.org/.
- 2. Before acceptance for publication, submitted works are verified for compliance with publication ethics principles, scientific or professional reliability, and value.
- 3. The publishing house applies the principle of fair play: the race, gender, religion, origin, citizenship, or political beliefs of authors do not influence the evaluation of texts. Editorial policies are independent. Texts are assessed solely based on content.
- 4. The publisher decides which texts will be published. The decision considers reviewers' opinions regarding the scientific value of the work, reliability, originality of the approach to the problem, clarity of reasoning, and practical applicability.
- 5. The criteria for qualifying monographs and articles for publication are transparent, and the procedures ensure high editorial and substantive quality.
- 6. Scientific editors of publications ensure the academic integrity of the prepared works. To maintain this, they may make corrections and amendments. They must also ensure that all contributors approve the final shape of the publication after scientific editing.



POLISH ACADEMY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES

PASSH

- 7. The editorial team takes all possible measures to prevent plagiarism, misuse, and the publication of false data. In cases of suspected unethical practices (plagiarism, data falsification), the publisher reserves the right to withdraw the text from a collective publication.
- 8. The publisher actively combats practices like ghostwriting and guest authorship.
- 9. Unpublished texts cannot be used by publishing house employees or others involved in the editorial process without written consent from the authors.
- 10. The publisher ensures confidentiality and the security of personal data.
- 11. The publisher reserves the right to withdraw a publication after release if:
 - Evidence exists of unreliable research results and/or data falsification, or if unintentional errors (e.g., methodological mistakes, calculation errors) are identified.
 - The work shows signs of plagiarism or violates publication ethics principles.
- 12.Research involving human participants must include a statement confirming compliance with ethical standards, approval by relevant ethical committees (institutional and national), and adherence to the 1964 Helsinki Declaration (and its subsequent amendments). Informed consent must be obtained from all participants.
- 13. Animal experiments can be accepted for publication only if conducted in accordance with legal requirements in Poland, the EU Council Directive of November 24, 1986, or the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Institute of Health Publications No. 80-23, Revised 1978). Authors must provide detailed descriptions of anesthesia and surgical procedures.



AUTHOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES

- 1. The author must accurately describe their research work and interpret results objectively. Papers should include information allowing data sources to be identified. Misrepresentation or unethical presentation of data and research results is unacceptable and may result in withdrawal of the text.
- 2. The author must cite all publications used in the creation of the text. Authors can only submit original works. Any research or information from other scholars used in the publication must be properly marked as citations. Plagiarism or data falsification is unacceptable.
- 3. Authors submitting multi-authored texts must disclose the contributions of each author (affiliations and information on who conceived, designed, and implemented the methods, protocols, etc.). Ghostwriting and guest authorship are considered unethical, and detected cases will be exposed, including notifying relevant entities such as the author's institution, academic societies, or editorial associations.
- 4. Authors employed in academic institutions are required to provide their ORCID identifier (Open Researcher and Contributor ID).



REVIEW PROCESS

- 1. Reviewers provide assessments upon the publisher's request. They influence publication decisions and, in collaboration with the author, may contribute to improving the final work.
- 2. Academic publications are reviewed by scholars from institutions across Poland and, in specific cases, internationally, as well as by experts in the subject matter.
- 3. Reviewers must provide their reviews within the agreed timeframe. If unable to do so due to substantive reasons or time constraints, they should immediately inform the publisher.
- 4. All reviewed texts and their reviews are confidential. Disclosure to third parties is not allowed (except those involved in the editorial process).
- 5. Reviews should be objective. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. All reviewer comments must be properly substantiated.
- 6. Reviewers must report any significant similarities between the reviewed text and other works to the publisher.
- 7. Reviewers may not use reviewed work for personal benefit.
- 8. Reviewers should abstain from evaluating texts if a conflict of interest with the author exists, and must inform the publisher of such cases.

Date:	
	Authors signature