

PUBLISHING HOUSE of PASSH

RULES FOR QUALIFYING PUBLICATIONS AND THE REVIEW PROCESS

REVIEW PROCESS

- 1. The publishing house submits works provided by the author for scientific review to specialists in the relevant academic discipline who are not employed by PASSH and hold at least a postdoctoral (habilitation) degree. When selecting reviewers, the publishing house may consider the list of potential reviewers suggested by the author in the application for publication.
- 2. Reviewers must not have direct personal relationships with the author (such as kinship, legal relationships, or conflicts), professional subordination, or active scientific collaboration with the author.

3.

REVIEW PREPARATION

- 1. The review must be in written form and conclude with a clear recommendation to either accept or reject the work for publication.
- 2. The review assesses the substantive quality, originality of the presented theses, justification of the undertaken research, methodology, accuracy of the conclusions, and the manner of their presentation.
- 3. The review should be fair, objective, reliable, constructive, aligned with the reviewer's expertise, and completed within the agreed timeframe.
- 4. The reviewer is obligated to disclose any instances of plagiarism, suspicions of plagiarism, redundant (duplicate) publication, or deficiencies in data citation (data manipulation).



PASSH

- 5. The reviewer must not disclose information about the content of the publication to unauthorized persons.
- 6. In the case of a negative or ambiguously positive evaluation, the publishing house reserves the right to decline the submitted text for publication.